DECISION

OF THE UNITED

WASHINGTON,

cification Were Unduly Restrictive [Protest Alleging IFB B-193185

FILE:

DATE: April 27, 1979

Coil Company Inc. - request for

MATTER OF: reconsideration

1601205

DIGEST:

Request for reconsideration is denied where it does not specify any error of law in prior decision or present information not previously considered.

Invitation for bids (IFB) No. 86-E-SEA/FR-78 for three heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning units was issued on May 31, 1978, by the Department of Agriculture. Coil Company Inc. (Coil), submitted the only bid, which was determined to be unreasonable in price, and the IFB therefore was canceled pursuant to Federal Procurement Regulations (FPR) § 1-2.404-1(b)(7) (1964 ed. circ. 1). The requirement was readvertised, and on September 15 award was made to American Air Filter Co., Inc., the only bidder.

Coil then filed a protest in our Office against the contract award. Coil argued that the invitations' specifications were unduly restrictive; Coil's bid under the initial solicitation was in fact reasonable in price; and the resolicitation should have been canceled since only one bid was received thereunder. In our decision in Coil Company Inc., B-193185, March 16, 1979, we dismissed the protest on the first issue as untimely under our Bid Protest Procedures, 4 C.F.R. part 20 (1978) (Procedures), and denied the protest on the other two issues.

Coil now/requests that we reconsider our March 16 decision. However, Coil does not specify any error of law made in the decision, or present any information not previously considered. Therefore, we have no basis for reconsidering the matter. See section 20.9(a) of our Procedures; Howard W. Roughton, III--Reconsideration, B-192673, December 8, 1978, 78-2 CPD 398. The decision is affirmed.

> Deputy Comptroller of the United States